



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/942	,214	10/01/97	JOHNSON	K	MNFRAME.005A

020995 LM21/0926 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE SIXTEENTH FLOOR NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660

Γ

EXAMINER WRIGHT, N ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2785

DATE MAILED:	
EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD	09/26/00
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Mr. N. Wright (Femr.) (3)	
(2) Mr. J. Carson (App). rep.) (4)	
Date of interview 9/22/00	
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	
Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached.	
Claims discussed: NA	
Identification of prior art discussed: N/4	147
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Established the functions of the micro-contsollers, and indicated	
specification pgs. 10-12 were inconsistent of the subject	
Applicant rep. said he would fax substitute sheets.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof r	
Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1–7 on the reverse side of t last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of	his form). If a response to the
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	·
Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed for response requirements of the last Office action.	the objections, rejections and orm is considered to fulfill the
n. lu	7/2/2
PTOL-413 (REV. 1-84)	DRMAN M. WRIGHT
ODICINIAL FOR INCORPLIANT IN PROCESS AND ASSOCIATION OF THE PR	RIMARY EXAMINER